79 Elm Street • Hartford, CT 06106-5127

www.ct.gov/deep

Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

July 25, 2012

Senator Andrew W. Roraback Representative Paul Davis Legislative Regulation Review Committee State Capitol, Room 011 Hartford, CT 06106

Re: Resubmission of Air Quality Proposal to Reduce Regulatory Burdens; No. 2012-21a

Dear Senator Roraback and Representative Davis:

Pursuant to section 4-170 of the Connecticut General Statues, I resubmit for your consideration and approval the enclosed proposal to amend or repeal certain of the air quality regulations, thereby reducing regulatory burdens on Connecticut businesses and administrative burdens on the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP).

This resubmission includes all of the technical revisions recommended in the Legislative Commissioners' Office (LCO) report. The LCO report also included a single substantive concern about the lack of statutory authority for a group of changes to DEEP's Stage II gasoline vapor recovery regulation. DEEP addressed this concern by removing the proposed revisions to the Stage II regulation from this resubmission. DEEP is planning a significant revision to the Stage II vapor recovery program as a result of recent federal determinations, and DEEP will address issues of legal authority as part of that revision. A detailed explanation of the actions taken in response to the LCO report is set out in the table attached to this letter.

With the removal of the Stage II vapor recovery program elements, this submission has three components:

- The repeal of non-core air quality programs;
- The addition of fine particulate matter requirements to DEEP's new source review permitting program, to retain federal program approval; and
- Additional compliance options for vehicle manufacturers under DEEP's low emission vehicle program.

An explanation of each component and reasons supporting the action are set out in the statement of purpose at the end of the regulatory text.

If there are any general questions regarding this submission, please contact Robert LaFrance, DEEP's Legislative Liaison, at 860-424-3401 (office) or 860-622-1797 (cell). If you have any substantive or rule drafting questions, please contact Merrily A. Gere of the Bureau of Air Management at 860-424-3416. Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/Daniel C. Esty Commissioner

cc: Robert LaFrance, DEEP

Revisions in Response to the Report of the Legislative Commissioners Office LRCC No. 2012-21a

LCO Report	Action Taken
Substantive Concern:	All of the proposed revisions to the
On page 7, in section 22a-174-30(b)(7) it is unclear under what	Stage II vapor control requirements
authority the department is exempting rental, corporate or	have been removed from the
commercial vehicle fleets from the stage II vapor control	resubmission. The requirements
requirements set forth in section 22a-174 of the general statutes.	eliminated were in sections 7 through
Section 22a-174e of the general statutes requires stage II gasoline	12 of the original submission.
vapor recovery systems for all gasoline pumps at any gasoline	
dispensing facility newly constructed on or after July 1, 1992, that	The sections of this resubmission
dispenses more than ten thousand gallons of gasoline per month, or	have been re-numbered given the
at any such facility where the gas tanks are replaced after said date.	removal of the Stage II vapor
	recovery provisions.
Technical Concerns	
On page 1, in section 22a-174-1, references to subdivision (60)	The catchline and revision have been
should be to subdivision (62) for accuracy.	changed to refer to subdivision (62).
Throughout the proposed regulation, when the agency is amending	In sections 2, 3 and 4 of the
a portion of a table and not the entire table, the agency should	resubmission, the proposed deletion
bracket the portion being amended and show the new language by	of a table and replacement with a new
underlining rather than rewriting the entire table and underlining	table have been changed as
existing language. For example, on page 1, in table 3a(i)-1, the	recommended in the comment.
only change to the table is that the agency is adding a new cell	Sections 2 through 4 now represent
regarding PM 2.5, and that portion should be underlined and the	the changes to the table as bracketed
existing material should not be underlined or bracketed for proper	and underlined text.
form. The same would apply to sections 3 and 4 of the proposed	
regulation.	
On page 4, in section $22a-174-3a(l)(1)$, throughout the subdivision,	The phrase was revised in section
references to "area is designated nonattainment" should be "area is	22a-174-3a(l)(1) in each instance in
designated as nonattainment" for proper grammar.	which it appears.
On page 4, in section $22a-174-3a(l)(1)(C)$, in the second line "but"	All of the recommended revisions to
should be "where" for proper grammar, in the fourth line "will"	section 22a-174-3a(<i>l</i>)(1)(C) were
should be "shall" in accordance with the committee's directive	made as recommended.
regarding mandates and in the next to last line, "levels" should be	
"the levels" for proper grammar.	
On page 6, in section 22a-174-30(a)(4), "another organization"	The proposed revisions to section
should be "other organization" for proper form.	22a-174-30(a) were eliminated from
	the resubmission.
On page 7, in section 22a-174-30(a)(7), "or [Operator] operator"	The proposed revisions to section
should be "or operator" to accurately reflect the text of the existing	22a-174-30(a) were eliminated from
regulation.	the resubmission.
On page 7, in section 22a-174-30(b), to add an additional	The proposed revisions to section
subdivision to said subsection as well as to amend an existing	22a-174-30(b) were removed from
subdivision of said subsection the agency should set forth the text	the resubmission.
of the entire subsection (b) for proper form and accuracy.	

(Continued)

(
LCO Report	Action Taken
On page 8, in section 22a-174-30(e)(2), in the fourth line, "within	The proposed revision of section 22a-
three years of" should be "not later than three years after" for	174-30(e)(2) were removed from the
clarity, and the same change should be made in the sixth line to	resubmission.
"within 45 days of".	
On page 8, in section 22a-174-30(e)(5), "Division" should be	The proposed addition of subdivision
deleted for proper form and consistency with the existing	(5) to section 22a-174-30(e) was
regulation.	removed from the resubmission.
On page 8, in section 22a-174-30(e)(5), the references to "such a	The proposed addition of subdivision
written report" and "such a report" should be "such report" for	(5) to section 22a-174-30(e) was
proper form.	removed from the resubmission.
On page 9, in section 22a-174-30(e)(5)(B), "Connecticut	The proposed addition of subdivision
Agencies" should be "Connecticut State Agencies" for proper form	(5) to section 22a-174-30(e) was
and "any applicable statute" should be "any other applicable	removed from the resubmission.
statute" for clarity.	
On page 10, in new subdivision (1), the existing reference to	The revision to section 22a-174-
"Code of California Regulations" should be " [Code of] California	36b(n)(1) was made as recommended.
Code of Regulations" for accuracy and consistency.	
An additional section, section 22a-174-8(b)(1), should be added to	The recommended revision to section
the regulation to bracket a reference to the repealed section 22a-	22a-174-8(b)(1) was added as new
174-17, for proper form.	section 10 of the resubmission.